

**REMARKS**

In the Office Action, Claims 19-34, 39 and 40 were allowed, Claims 6 and 9-18 were indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of the claims from which they correspondingly depend, and Claims 35-38 were indicated as being allowable if rewritten to overcome perceived language indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and to include the limitations of the claims from which they correspondingly depend.

In response, Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the content of allowable Claim 35, thereby placing independent Claim 1-5, 7 and 8 in condition for allowance. Further, Claim 9 has been amended to independent form thereby placing it and all claims dependent thereupon in condition for allowance. Finally, Claims 36-39 have been amended to address the language indefiniteness rejection, thereby placing such claims in condition for allowance.

Based upon the foregoing, Applicant believes that all pending claims are in condition for allowance and such disposition is respectfully requested. In the event that a telephone conversation would further prosecution and/or expedite allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSH FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP

By: 

Thomas R. Marsh, Esq.  
3151 South Vaughn Way, Suite 411  
Aurora, Colorado 80014  
Atty. Reg. No.: 31,039  
Telephone: (303) 338-0997  
Facsimile: (303) 338-1514

Date: 5/20/07